

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration in view of the instant response. Claims 2-10, 12-20, 22-30, 32-40, 42-50 and 52-66 remain pending in the case. Claims 2-10, 12-20, 32-40, 42-50 and 61-66 are allowed. Claims 22-30 and 52-60 are rejected. Claims 27 and 57 are amended herein. No new matter has been added as a result of the claim amendments.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that Claims 2-10, 12-20, 32-40, 42-50 and 61-66 are allowed.

Examiner Interview Summary

On June 18, 2007, Examiner Pham and Matthew J. Blecher, patent attorney for Applicants, participated in a telephonic Examiner interview. In particular, the rejection of Claims 22-30 and 52-60 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, was discussed. Examiner Pham indicated that replacing the phrase "for causing a" of Claims 27 and 57 with the phrase "which when executed by a computer system causes the" would overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Applicants and Mr. Blecher wish to thank Examiner Pham for participating in the telephonic Examiner interview.

35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph

Claims 22-30 and 52-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as it is asserted that Claims 22-30 and 52-60 are indefinite. Claims 27 and 57 are amended herein to recite in part: “[a] computer-readable medium having computer-readable program code embodied therein which when executed by a computer system causes the computer system to perform a method of data session handoff” (emphasis added).

Applicants respectfully submit that the claim limitation “[a] computer-readable medium having computer-readable program code embodied therein which when executed by a computer system causes the computer system to perform a method of data session handoff” is definite and satisfies 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that the basis for rejecting Claims 27 and 57 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, is traversed, and that Claims 27 and 57 are in condition for allowance. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 22-26 and 28-30 dependent on independent Claim 27 and Claims 52-56 and 58-60 dependent on independent Claim 57 also overcome the rejection under 5 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, and are in a condition for allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims.

Based on the arguments presented above, Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 22-30 and 52-60 overcome the rejections of record, and therefore Applicants respectfully solicit allowance of these claims.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER BLECHER LLP

Date: 6/18/07



John P. Wagner, Jr.
Registration No. 35,398

Westridge Business Park
123 Westridge Drive
Watsonville, CA 95076
(408) 377-0500